

Public

Code Administrator Consultation Response Proforma

CMP474: Fixed Balancing Services Use of System Price revision mechanism

Industry parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views and supplying the rationale for those views, particularly in respect of any specific questions detailed below.

Please send your responses to cusc.team@neso.energy by **5pm** on **29 June 2026**. Please note that any responses received after the deadline or sent to a different email address may not receive due consideration.

If you have any queries on the content of this consultation, please contact cusc.team@neso.energy.

Respondent details	Please enter your details	
Respondent name:	Colin Paine	
Company name:	ENGIE	
Email address:	Colin.paine@engie.com	
Phone number:	07736 106 961	
Which best describes your organisation?	<input type="checkbox"/> Consumer body <input type="checkbox"/> Demand <input type="checkbox"/> Distribution Network Operator <input type="checkbox"/> Generator <input type="checkbox"/> Industry body <input type="checkbox"/> Interconnector	<input type="checkbox"/> Storage <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Supplier <input type="checkbox"/> System Operator <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner <input type="checkbox"/> Virtual Lead Party <input type="checkbox"/> Other

I wish my response to be:

(Please mark the relevant box)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Non-Confidential (<i>this will be shared with industry and the Panel for further consideration</i>)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Confidential (<i>this will be disclosed to the Authority in full but, unless specified, will not be shared with the Panel or the industry for further consideration</i>)

Public

For reference the Applicable CUSC (charging) Objectives are:

- d) *That compliance with the use of system charging methodology facilitates effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) facilitates competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity;*
- e) *That compliance with the use of system charging methodology results in charges which reflect, as far as is reasonably practicable, the costs (excluding any payments between transmission licensees which are made under and accordance with the STC) incurred by transmission licensees in their transmission businesses and which are compatible with standard licence condition C11 requirements of a connect and manage connection);*
- f) *That, so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) and (b), the use of system charging methodology, as far as is reasonably practicable, properly takes account of the developments in transmission licensees' transmission businesses and the ISOP business*;*
- g) *Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency **; and*
- h) *Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the system charging methodology.*

* See Electricity System Operator Licence

**The Electricity Regulation referred to in objective g) is Regulation (EU) 2019/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 June 2019 on the internal market for electricity (recast) as it has effect immediately before IP completion day as read with the modifications set out in the SI 2020/1006.

Public

For reference, (for consultation question 4) the Electricity Balancing Regulation (EBR) Article 3 Objectives and regulatory aspects are:

- a) *fostering effective competition, non-discrimination and transparency in balancing markets;*
- b) *enhancing efficiency of balancing as well as efficiency of national balancing markets;*
- c) *integrating balancing markets and promoting the possibilities for exchanges of balancing services while contributing to operational security;*
- d) *contributing to the efficient long-term operation and development of the electricity transmission system and electricity sector while facilitating the efficient and consistent functioning of day-ahead, intraday and balancing markets;*
- e) *ensuring that the procurement of balancing services is fair, objective, transparent and market-based, avoids undue barriers to entry for new entrants, fosters the liquidity of balancing markets while preventing undue market distortions;*
- f) *facilitating the participation of demand response including aggregation facilities and energy storage while ensuring they compete with other balancing services at a level playing field and, where necessary, act independently when serving a single demand facility;*
- g) *facilitating the participation of renewable energy sources and supporting the achievement of any target specified in an enactment for the share of energy from renewable sources.*

What is the EBR?

The Electricity Balancing Regulation (EBR) is a European Network Code introduced by the Third Energy Package European legislation in late 2017.

The EBR regulation lays down the rules for the integration of balancing markets in Europe, with the objectives of enhancing Europe’s security of supply. The EBR aims to do this through harmonisation of electricity balancing rules and facilitating the exchange of balancing resources between European Transmission System Operators

Public

(TSOs). Article 18 of the EBR states that TSOs such as the NESO should have terms and conditions developed for balancing services, which are submitted and approved by Ofgem.

Please express your views in the right-hand side of the table below, including your rationale.

Standard Code Administrator Consultation questions		
1	Please provide your assessment for the proposed solution against the Applicable Objectives against the current baseline.	Mark the Objectives which you believe the proposed solution better facilitates than the current baseline:
		Original <input type="checkbox"/> d <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> e <input type="checkbox"/> f <input type="checkbox"/> g <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> h <input type="checkbox"/> None
		<p>We are supportive of this solution, as it provides a mechanism for NESO to reopen the BSUoS Fixed Charge to replenish the WCF, but also mitigates the risk to suppliers of doing so by including a reasonable notice period and providing clearer rules as to the circumstances in which there can be a reopening.</p> <p>As we stated in our response to the workgroup consultation, CMP474 ensures that suppliers will have the same level of notice as they do for business-as-usual tariff changes and provide more time to adjust cost-recovery mechanisms in fixed contracts and manage this risk more effectively. It also reduces the risk of mid-contract re-openers with the subsequent disruption these cause to customers. CMP474 also benefits our customers on pass through contracts by giving them more advanced notice of increases to BSUoS tariffs arising</p>

Public

		<p>from mid-period resets, enabling them to better forecast their cashflow risk.</p> <p>We also feel that the level of the WCF should be reviewed for adequacy and if necessary measures taken to increase it gradually over a period of time to reduce the risk of depletion and thus the need to reopen the Fixed BSUoS charge.</p>
2	Do you support the proposed implementation approach?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No See comments in Section 1.
3	Do you have any other comments?	No
4	Do you agree with the Workgroup's assessment that the modification does not impact the Electricity Balancing Regulation (EBR) Article 18 terms and conditions held within the Code?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Click or tap here to enter text.